

Beyond Awareness: Actionable Recommendations for Counselor Educators to Combat Ableism



The Professional Counselor™

Volume 15, Issue 3, Pages 214–226

<http://tpcjournal.nbcc.org>

© 2025 NBCC, Inc. and Affiliates

doi: 10.15241/jch.15.3.214

Julie C. Hill, Toni Saia, Marcus Weathers, Jr.

Ableism is often neglected in conversations about oppression and intersectionality within counselor education programs. It is vital to expand our understanding of disability as a social construct shaped by power and oppression, not a medical issue defined by diagnosis. This article is a call to action to combat ableism in counselor education. Actionable recommendations include: (a) encouraging professionals to define and discuss ableism; (b) including disability representation in course materials; (c) engaging in conversations about disability with students; (d) collaborating with, responding to, and supporting disabled people and communities; and (e) reflecting on personal biases to help dismantle ableism within counselor education. Implications for counselor educators highlight the ongoing need for more ableism content within the profession.

Keywords: ableism, disability, counselor education, representation, biases

Disability is rarely examined through intersectionality and critical consciousness, despite its deep connections to race, class, gender, and other social identities (Berne et al., 2018). As the United States becomes increasingly diverse, the need for counselors who can competently address the complex, intersecting needs of disabled people has never been more urgent (Dollarhide et al., 2020). Disabled people are the largest and fastest-growing minority group, with approximately 60 million people reporting some form of disability (Elflein, 2024). Despite this increasing prevalence, ableism, known as the systemic discrimination and exclusion of disabled people, remains persistent in our society. Slesaransky-Poe and García (2014) further discuss ableism as the belief that disability makes someone less deserving of many things, including respect, education, and access within the community.

Ableism and ableist beliefs have profoundly shaped how society perceives and interprets the disability experience. Historically, the medical model has framed disability as an inherent defect within the individual, requiring treatment, rehabilitation, or correction to restore “normal” functioning (Leonardi et al., 2006). This deficit-based perspective, reinforced by legal definitions, has shaped societal attitudes and policies, often prioritizing intervention over community integration. In contrast, the social model of disability shifts the focus from the individual to the broader societal structures, emphasizing how inaccessible environments, exclusionary policies, and ableist attitudes create disabling conditions (Bunbury, 2019; Friedman & Owen, 2017; Shakespeare, 2006). This model asserts that disability is not simply a medical issue, but a social justice concern requiring systemic change to remove barriers and promote full participation. Within counselor education programs, the biopsychosocial model is often taught as a more integrative framework that acknowledges disability as a complex interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors. Although medical interventions may be necessary for some individuals, this model emphasizes addressing environmental and attitudinal barriers contributing to marginalization. By adopting this holistic approach, counselors can better advocate for equity, inclusion, and meaningful accessibility for all.

Julie C. Hill, PhD, NCC, BC-TMH, LPC, CRC, is an assistant professor at the University of Arkansas. Toni Saia, PhD, CRC, is an associate professor at San Diego State University. Marcus Weathers, Jr., PhD, CRC, LPC-IT, is an assistant professor at Mississippi State University. Correspondence may be addressed to Julie C. Hill, 751 W. Maple St., Fayetteville, AR 72701, jch029@uark.edu.

This article provides an asset-based framework that views disability as a valuable aspect of diversity rather than a deficit or limitation. This approach recognizes the strengths, perspectives, and contributions that disabled people bring to communities and educational spaces (Olkin, 2002; Perrin, 2019). By embracing disability as an aspect of diversity, this framework challenges societal norms rooted in ableism, which often prioritize conformity and cure over anti-ableism (Bogart & Dunn, 2019). Through this lens of power and oppression, disability is celebrated as a source of innovation, creativity, and cultural richness, encouraging practices that empower disabled individuals to thrive both in the classroom and in the community. To reinforce this shift in thinking to disability as an asset, we use identity-first language, recognizing that many disabled people prefer it as a positive affirmation of their lived experiences and their connection to the disability community (Sharif et al., 2022; Taboas et al., 2023).

Intersectionality and Disability

Scholars recognize intersectionality as an analytical tool to investigate how multiple systems of oppression interact with an individual's social identities, creating complex social inequities and unique experiences of oppression and privilege for individuals with multiple marginalized identities (Collins & Bilge, 2020; Crenshaw, 1989; Grzanka, 2020; Moradi & Grzanka, 2017; Shin et al., 2017). The topic of disability is often absent in conversations regarding power, oppression, and privilege (Ben-Moshe & Magaña, 2014; Erevelles & Minear, 2010; Frederick & Shifrer, 2018; Mueller et al., 2019; Wolbring & Nasir, 2024) despite the potential for disability to intersect with other marginalized identities (e.g., racial/ethnic identity, gender identity, socioeconomic status, religious and spiritual beliefs, citizenship/immigration status) that lead to intersectionality-based challenges that conflict with the marginalization of being disabled (Wolbring & Nasir, 2024). For example, Lewis and Brown (2018) condemned the lack of accountability in reporting on disability, race, and police violence, which often irresponsibly neglects the coexistence of disability in conversations of experienced violence. Using the framework of intersectionality responsibly in disability discourse within counselor education holds significant potential for the professional development of counselors to work toward unmasking and dismantling ableism.

Challenges and Gaps in Anti-Ableism in Counselor Education and Training

How counselor educators teach about disability is crucial to dismantling ableism, yet history reveals a troubling lack of cultural humility in educational approaches. Cultural humility is a process-oriented approach that continuously emphasizes the counselor's openness to learn about a client's culture and invites counselors to consistently incorporate self-reflective activities to enhance their self-awareness (Mosher et al., 2017). Although cultural humility may be well intended, it may also have a harmful impact and fall flat if inherent biases go unrecognized. For example, counselor educators heavily relied on simulation exercises to address disability in the classroom (e.g., having students blindfold themselves for an activity to simulate blindness or having them sit in a wheelchair for a short period). Simulation exercises reinforce a deeply medicalized and reductive view of disability, one rooted in fear, pity, and misconception, ultimately erasing disability as both a culture and an identity (Öksüz & Brubaker, 2020; Shakespeare & Kleine, 2013). Beatrice Wright (1980, as cited in Herbert, 2000), cautioned that simulation experiences evoke fear, aversion, and guilt. These exercises rarely foster meaningful or constructive perspectives on disability. Instead of deepening understanding, these exercises risk reinforcing harmful stereotypes, further marginalizing disabled individuals rather than empowering them. Instead of disability simulations, honor the voices and experiences of disabled individuals through their narratives, such as *Being Heumann* by Judy Heumann, as well as documentaries and movies like *Crip Camp*, *Patrice*, or *CODA*. Contact with disabled individuals has been shown to reduce

stigma against disabled people (Feldner et al., 2022; Smith et al., 2011). Additionally, incorporate analyzing ableism through case studies, readings, or media, followed by a structured discussion.

Topics of multiculturalism and diversity have increased over the years; the same cannot be said for disability (Rivas, 2020). Davis (2011) poignantly asked, “Is this simply neglect, or is there something inherent in the way diversity is considered that makes it impossible to recognize disability as a valid human identity?” (p. 4). More than a decade later, this question remains painfully relevant. Atkins et al. (2023) explored this issue through a study using the Counseling Clients with Disabilities Scale to evaluate professionals’ attitudes, competencies, and preparedness when working with disabled clients. The findings underscore the critical need for education and exposure to disability-related topics in counselor training, demonstrating that such efforts improve competency, reduce biases, and foster more inclusive, equitable, and empowering support. However, disability continues to receive significantly less attention than other cultural and identity groups in professional training and discourse (Deroche et al., 2020).

Furthermore, ableist microaggressions continue to be a concern for disabled individuals. Cook and colleagues (2024) conducted a study looking at microaggressions experienced by disabled individuals and found four categories of microaggressions: minimization, denial of personhood, otherization, and helplessness. They also found that experiencing ableist microaggressions affected participants’ mental health and wellness. Additionally, they found that those with visible disabilities were more likely to experience ableist microaggressions than those with invisible disabilities. Given these findings, counselor educators need to be aware that ableist microaggressions exist, what those microaggressions may sound like, and how they impact disabled clients.

Concerns exist about the extent to which counselor education programs cover disability content; there is also a need to examine instructors’ preparedness for covering such content. In a survey of counselor educators in programs accredited by the Council for the Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs (CACREP), 36% of the faculty surveyed believed their program was ineffective at addressing disability topics and that programs did not address disability and ableism to the extent necessary to produce competent professionals. Only 10.6% felt their program to be “very effective” in this content area, with the belief that their students were only somewhat prepared to work with disabled people (Feather & Carlson, 2019). Notably, these oversights in education translate into inadequacy in practice. A sample of mental health professionals who all reported working with disabled clients indicated the least amount of perceived disability competence in skills, the second least competence in knowledge, and the most competence in awareness (Strike et al., 2004). Faculty self-assessment of their ability to teach disability-related content was strongly linked to their prior work or personal experience with disability. This highlights the importance of integrating exposure to and training on disability-related concepts throughout core areas (Pierce, 2024). Although separated by a decade, these studies can be tied to a unifying, persistent issue: the lack of disability competence in counseling and counselor education spaces.

The 2024 CACREP standards call for an infusion of disability competencies into counseling curricula (CACREP, 2023), meaning that counselor educators and counselors-in-training must reimagine the available literature to provide adequate professional development and growth. Pierce (2024) advised that disability competence areas be focused on the following topics: accessibility, able privilege, disability culture, and disability justice. We must seek to dismantle ableism by infusing disability into curricula in an authentic manner that highlights the societal values and attitudes in which multiple forms of oppression work in tandem to create unique, intersectional experiences for disabled people.

Training Recommendations for Counselor Education Programs

The authors aim to ensure counselor educators have tangible strategies to dismantle ableism and teach their students to do the same. Counselor educators and counselors-in-training must look inward and rid themselves of negative attitudes and biases to eradicate ableism. Part of this process includes the critical skill of self-reflection and examining and understanding biased and ableist beliefs held by individuals and perpetuated by society. Until that happens, counselors will continue to do a disservice to disabled people (Friedman, 2023). For students who have never interacted with disabled people or thought about ableism, these conversations and strategies have the very real possibility of making them uncomfortable. Discomfort is okay. Disabled people often feel awkward or out of place every day because of ableism. It is not our job as counselor educators to make students comfortable; it is our job to make them competent, informed, and ethical professionals.

The following are five tangible strategies to thoughtfully and intentionally dismantle ableism. These strategies are purposefully broad and aim to expose counseling professionals and those in training to an intersectional perspective of disability that acknowledges disability as a valid aspect of diversity, identity, and culture. Rather than siloing these discussions to disability-related training, these strategies belong in all settings within counseling. Counseling professionals must include ableism in the conversations happening in places where they learn and work to shift the way they think, view, respond to, and construct disability. To begin, counselor education programs should consider hosting a workshop or seminar focused on ableism by disabled people to ensure that all students and faculty are on the same page and are using the same terminology. Once this has been established, ableism and disability content and knowledge should be incorporated into lectures, assignments, discussions, and exams across the counselor education curriculum. Further information on this integration is described in the first strategy below.

Define Ableism

One of the factors that further perpetuates ableism is the lack of clarity on what ableism is and how it intersects with other forms of oppression. Counselor educators must share definitions of ableism that center on the perspective of the disabled community. Talia Lewis (2022) provided a working definition of ableism that disabled Black/negatively racialized communities developed:

A system of assigning value to people's bodies and minds based on societally constructed ideas of normalcy, productivity, desirability, intelligence, excellence, and fitness. These constructed ideas are deeply rooted in eugenics, anti-Blackness, misogyny, colonialism, imperialism, and capitalism. This systemic oppression leads to people and society determining people's value based on their culture, age, language, appearance, religion, birth or living place, "health/wellness," and/or their ability to satisfactorily re/produce, "excel," and "behave." You do not have to be disabled to experience ableism. (para. 4–6)

This definition expands on the definition provided earlier of ableism as the systemic discrimination and exclusion of disabled people. It rejects the notion that ableism can be dismantled or separated from other forms of oppression (e.g., racism, sexism, and other systems of oppression). Within counseling curricula, we often use the term intersectionality, but it is impossible to address intersectionality with our students if we do not thoughtfully include ableism. We should challenge the idea that disability is a monolithic experience as we seek to build a more complex, interconnected, and whole understanding of disability (Mingus, 2011).

It is also essential to acknowledge internalized ableism, which is ableism directed inward when a disabled person consciously or unconsciously believes in the harmful messages they hear about disability. They project negative feelings onto themselves. They start to believe and internalize the message that society labels disability as inferior. They begin to accept the stereotypes. Internalized ableism occurs when individuals are so heavily influenced by stereotypes, misconceptions, and discrimination against disabled people that they start to think that their disabilities make them inferior (Presutti, 2021). For example, a disabled student may not participate in class because they believe their contributions are inferior compared to their nondisabled peers, or a disabled client may experience feeling undeserving, undesirable, and burdensome.

To effectively implement this awareness, ask students to define ableism in their own words. Coming up with their definition of ableism encourages critical thinking and allows the counselor educator to gauge students' existing understanding. Then, introduce the Lewis (2022) definitions above to provide a more comprehensive framework. To reinforce these concepts, incorporate case studies illustrating real-world examples of ableism. Analyzing these cases in class discussions or group activities will help students identify ableist structures, challenge assumptions, and explore solutions for creating more welcoming environments. Counselors can examine ableism in societal contexts by viewing movies or television shows that feature disabled characters and analyzing how ableism is portrayed in media. Because of societal barriers to access and the taboos surrounding discussions of disability, the entertainment and news media serve as a key source for many people to form opinions about disability and disabled individuals. Unfortunately, these portrayals are limited and often spread misinformation and harmful stereotypes (Pierce, 2024). One way to help combat this could be by watching a movie or show together as a class and then having a discussion or having students watch on their own and write a short reflection followed by a class discussion. Some suggested movies include *Crip Camp*, *Murderball*, *The Temple Grandin Story*, *Patrice*, and *Out of My Mind*. Some suggested television shows include *Speechless*, *Love on the Spectrum*, *Special*, *Raising Dion*, *Atypical*, and *The Healing Powers of Dude*.

Include Disability Representation in Course Content

The phrase “representation matters” also applies to disability. Counselor educators should include disability and discussions of the impact of systemic ableism throughout course content, not only in a single lecture or reading on the course syllabus. Decisions about course content send powerful messages about what the counselor educator, the program, and the broader counseling profession prioritize and value. Including or excluding specific topics reflects the educator's perspective and shapes future counselors' professional identity and competencies. When disability is overlooked or inadequately addressed, it signals to students that it is not a central concern in counseling practice, which reinforces systemic gaps in knowledge, awareness, and advocacy. To counter this erasure and to ensure meaningful representation, intentionally incorporate guest speakers, videos, readings, memoirs, and research that center on the perspectives of disabled people. This gives students an authentic and multifaceted understanding of disability beyond theoretical discussions. Consider integrating a book or memoir that centers a disabled perspective alongside the course textbook to bridge the gap between academic content and real-life experiences. This approach not only deepens students' engagement but also challenges ableist assumptions by highlighting the lived realities, resilience, and contributions of disabled people.

Engage in Conversation About Disability With Students

Disability is not a bad word. Counselor educators must instill this simple yet profound truth in students. Euphemisms like *differently abled*, *handicapable*, or *special needs* perpetuate ableism when used in place of the term *disability*, implying that disability is something shameful or in need of softening;

they do more harm than good. Counselor educators must allow students the opportunity to engage in discussion about disability to challenge the idea that disability is taboo and move into a space where students can appreciate that disability is a natural part of life. Counselor educators must foster a safe and supportive learning community that allows students to engage in dialogue and discussion about their beliefs and experiences that have shaped their beliefs, and examine how those beliefs led to the development or perpetuation of ableist ideas and microaggressions. This allows students to learn, grow, and reshape their beliefs and understanding together. This quote sums it up best: “Disabled people are reclaiming our identities, our community, and our pride. We will no longer accept euphemisms that fracture our sense of unity as a culture: #SaytheWord” (Andrews et al., 2019, p. 6). To empower students to #SayTheWord in both classroom discussions and professional practice, dedicate time, especially during the first weeks of class, to explicitly affirm that disability is not a bad word. Normalize its use by providing historical context, sharing first-person perspectives, and emphasizing the importance of language in shaping attitudes. By reinforcing disability as an act of recognition rather than avoidance, you help students develop confidence in using identity-affirming language and challenging the stigma often associated with the term.

Collaborate, Respond, and Support Disabled People

Counselor educators, counselors, and counselors-in-training should seek opportunities to listen to, respond to, support, and collaborate with disabled counselors and other disabled scholars. Thoughtful collaborations allow for authentic exposure and conversation that support the unlearning of ableist beliefs. This approach is consistent with the disability rights mantra “nothing about us without us” (Charlton, 1998, p. 3), which implies that no change can occur without the direct input of disabled individuals. One opportunity for collaboration includes professional conferences and attending presentations by disabled academics and professionals. Other opportunities for collaboration include working with and supporting local disabled business owners and seeking out organizations such as independent living centers to bring in disabled speakers to share their lived experience and interactions with ableism and microaggressions. Be sure to compensate these individuals for their time so that the work of collaboration is mutually beneficial to all parties.

Disabled people are the experts of their experiences, not professionals. This statement is not synonymous with implementing a client-centered or person-centered approach. Instead, the focus of this statement is to make sure counselors have the tools to trust, support, uplift, and dismantle ableism with disabled clients. If it starts in the classroom, counselors-in-training will be better prepared in practice and life outside of work. As professionals know, trust in the counselor-client relationship is essential for the disabled community. It often develops when individuals feel heard, trusted, and validated, rather than being second-guessed or minimized, especially as they share about the external and internal ableism they face daily. Lund (2022) recommended consulting with both disabled psychologists and trainees to bring a “critical insider-professional perspective” (p. 582) to the profession. By consulting and bringing these disabled professionals in for training or speaking about personal experiences, we can ensure that disabled voices are heard and recognized.

Another way to amplify disabled voices is through the teaching of disability justice. The Disability Justice framework affirms that every person’s body holds inherent value, power, and uniqueness. It recognizes that identity is shaped by the interconnected influences of ability, race, gender, sexuality, class, nationality, religion, and other factors. It stresses the importance of viewing these influences together rather than separately. From this perspective, the fight for a just society must be grounded in these intertwined identities while also acknowledging Berne et al.’s (2018) critical insight that the current global system is “incompatible with life” (para. 13). Central principles of disability justice, such

as centering leadership by those most impacted, fostering interdependence, ensuring collective access, building cross-disability solidarity, and pursuing collective liberation, prioritize intersectionality and cross-movement collaboration to guarantee that no one is excluded or left behind. (Pierce, 2024).

Helping students understand and internalize these ideas and principles should lead to the development of more aware and anti-ableist counselors in several ways. Rather than viewing client struggles as isolated or purely personal issues, understand that many forms of suffering, especially those faced by disabled people and people with intersecting marginalized identities, are rooted in larger social, economic, and political systems that devalue certain lives. For example, ableism, racism, and capitalism often create conditions that threaten people's survival, whether through limited access to health care, environmental injustice, or social exclusion.

Counselors-in-training should be attuned to how multiple aspects of identity (such as disability, race, gender, and class) interact to shape each client's lived experience. This approach moves counseling away from a one-size-fits-all perspective and helps address the unique, layered barriers that clients face. Traditional counseling and counselor preparation often focus on assisting clients to adapt to oppressive systems. The Disability Justice perspective instead calls for counselors-in-training to see their role as also advocating for systemic change, working toward environments and policies that are actually supportive of all people's well-being. Rather than idealizing independence, disability justice values interdependence and community care. Counselors and counselors-in-training can foster this by helping clients build supportive networks and by modeling collaborative, relational approaches in practice.

Regularly Reflect on Personal Biases and Be Open to Feedback

Counselor educators often ask counselors-in-training to reflect on their own biases in terms of race, gender, and sexual orientation. However, ableism and disability are often forgotten or left out of those conversations. It is essential for these conversations about bias to include disability so that everyone has opportunities to explore and discuss their own potential biases. Embedding disability representation in the classroom allows everyone to see how they respond to disabled people, especially when that representation is in the form of case studies and client role-play. Then, everyone, including supervisors, can constructively receive feedback from a trusted figure and can change or improve their reactions and responses if necessary. Furthermore, counselor educators and counselors-in-training can keep reflective journals, seek supervision or peer discussions, and review case notes with an anti-ableist lens, which can help identify areas for growth. Additionally, counselor educators should actively solicit feedback from the disability community, welcoming their perspectives without defensiveness. When possible, attend training led by disabled professionals and the disabled community to reinforce a commitment to continuous learning and accountability.

Implications for Counselor Educators

Counselor educators are responsible for training counselors to work with all types of clients, including disabled clients. Counselors will encounter disabled clients, no matter the setting that they are working in. Disability can impact anyone and does not discriminate across gender, race, socioeconomic status, sexual orientation, or geographic location. Disability is the one minority group that anyone can become a part of at any time in their life. Most people will age into disability as they get older (Shapiro, 1994). Counselor educators need to be sure that counselors are confronting and dismantling their own ableism and ableist beliefs and that they understand that they may need to assist clients in processing their own experiences with ableism in society and interactions with others. One self-assessment for self-reflection and insight is the Systematic Ableism Scale (SAS; Friedman, 2023). The SAS has four

underlying themes: individualism, recognition of continuing discrimination, empathy for disabled people, and excessive demands. The SAS is a tool that can be used to help understand how contradicting disability ideologies manifest in modern society to determine how best to counteract them. By using this assessment as a self-evaluation tool, both students and counselor educators can identify where their beliefs may be problematic or ableist and then set goals to address and improve in those areas.

We recommend that counselors intentionally occupy spaces where discussions on disability advocacy are occurring. Universities are often regarded as a primary source of knowledge production, but a common misconception is that the people themselves produce the knowledge. The reality is that not all disability content is produced by disabled individuals or organizations. Thus, we encourage counselor educators to expand access to knowledge about disability by seeking spaces outside the institution that share insider perspectives on the disability experience and organizations dedicated to empowering disabled communities. This may involve engaging with informal educational organizations such as Sins Invalid, AXIS Dance Company, and Krip Hop Nation or getting involved with formal professional organizations such as APA Division 22, the American Rehabilitation Counseling Association, or the National Rehabilitation Counseling Association. Some strategies that can be used to advocate for and in support of disabled clients include client-centered advocacy, understanding disability as a cultural identity, and building knowledge of the disability rights movement, ableism, and intersectionality, as well as integrating disability-inclusive language, avoiding ableist assumptions, and incorporating clients' lived experiences into treatment (Chapin et al., 2018; Smart, 2015; Smith et al., 2011).

The foundation for a competent and qualified counselor begins with their training. This training can be formal education or ongoing professional development. For those responsible for educating counselors-in-training, laying the foundation for anti-ableism practices begins in the classroom. A universal design for learning (UDL) framework, developed by the Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST, 2018), aims to create accessible material and inclusive environments that are usable for all people by intentionally incorporating multiple representations of content to enhance student expression of learning and increase a variety of opportunities for engagement with the learning environment (Black et al., 2015; Dolmage, 2017; Fornauf & Erickson, 2020). UDL principles support anti-ableist practice by encouraging an ongoing partnership between students and instructors that facilitates consistent and practical feedback to promote student belongingness (Hennessey & Koch, 2007; Oswald et al., 2018). Promoting belonging and acceptance in counselor education programs requires intentional strategies that foster inclusivity, respect for diversity, and a strong sense of community. Effective techniques include: 1) Use inclusive curriculum design. Integrate diverse perspectives throughout the curriculum, with special attention paid to marginalized voices, such as disabled voices. 2) Use culturally responsive pedagogy. This includes employing a range of instructional methods to cater to diverse learning styles. Use trauma-informed practices by creating a learning environment that is sensitive to trauma, both past and present. 3) Implement community-building activities such as structuring programs around cohorts and encouraging the formation of affinity groups and peer support groups. 4) Encourage active dialogue and reflection around tough conversations such as diversity, ableism, inequality, and marginalization. This can be done both in person and online via discussion boards. Faculty can also encourage students to explore their thoughts, reflections, and experiences around issues of identity, belonging, and ableism in a reflective journal. 5) Collect feedback to guide continuous improvement. Faculty can assess students' experiences with inclusion and ableism through climate surveys.

Additionally, the adoption of multiple methods for delivering information in alternate formats and continuous assessment of student progress reduces barriers to student engagement and expression in the learning environment, which in turn systematically challenges normative ableist practice that values

a one-size-fits-all perspective that often neglects disabled thought and existence in pedagogical practices (Oswald et al., 2018). UDL strategies to disrupt ableist thought and practices may include using closed captioning on visual multimedia content (e.g., videos, PowerPoint presentations), incorporating movement breaks, creating interactive activities (e.g., role-play activities, gamification, debates on critical topics), and receiving feedback on instruction.

Hill and Delgado (2023) discussed the importance of including disability coursework and content across multiple domains to effectively address ableism in counselor education programs. Building upon their work, we suggest that the following key types of coursework and content be included. At a minimum, disability content should be integrated into the core CACREP curriculum areas: professional counseling orientation and ethical practice, social and cultural foundations, lifespan development, career development, counseling practice, group counseling, assessment and diagnosis, and research and program evaluation (CACREP, 2023).

Foundational Disability Studies

Students should explore and understand how ableism developed and its systemic nature, especially in the current political climate (Campbell, 2009; Dolmage, 2017). Additionally, students can learn about models of disability: medical, sociopolitical, functional, religious, moral, and biopsychosocial (Engel, 1977; Shakespeare, 2006; Smart, 2015). Students must also understand the concept of intersectionality, which examines how disability interacts with race, gender, sexuality, and socioeconomic status (Erevelles & Minear, 2010; Garland-Thompson, 2005).

Ethics and Multicultural Competence

Students should understand the intersection of disability and ethics by being able to apply the *ACA Code of Ethics* to disability issues (Chapin et al., 2018; Feather & Carlson, 2019). In either an ethics class or a multicultural class, students must learn about crucial disability-related legislation, such as the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act. In the multicultural class, students need to understand disability cultural competence and receive training on disability as a cultural identity and recognizing ableism as a form of oppression (Feldner et al., 2022; Smith et al., 2011). Additionally, in the multicultural class, students should be taught about biases and microaggressions, as well as how to identify and address ableist language and behavior.

Counseling Skills and Practice

In a counseling skills class, students must learn accessible counseling techniques, such as modifying approaches for different abilities (e.g., sensory, cognitive, mobility). Students should also be presented with case studies involving disabled clients, with an emphasis on strengths-based and person-centered approaches. Additionally, students ought to receive supervision and advocacy training on how to support and advocate for clients with disabilities in clinical settings. Counselor educators can use the strategies listed here in the classroom and in practice.

Directions for Future Research

Two of the three authors of this article are disabled and bring lived experience to their teaching, writing, research, and engagement with the nondisabled world. This real-world experience informs the strategies presented and has been applied in both classroom and professional settings. However, these approaches have not yet been empirically tested through formal research. Future research could focus on empirically validating these strategies through qualitative or quantitative

studies, particularly in evaluating confidence when working with disabled clients before and after implementing these strategies. Strategies include incorporating disability knowledge into the counselor education curriculum coursework (Hill & Delgado, 2023), using critical pedagogy and disability justice frameworks when teaching (Dolmage, 2017; Erevelles & Minear, 2010), providing experiential learning and opportunities for contact with disabled individuals (Smith et al., 2011), giving disability-related education and training for faculty and supervisors (Feldner et al., 2022), and encouraging the development of allyship and advocacy skills (Feldner et al., 2022; Goodman et al., 2004). Additional studies are also needed to examine ableism and confidence in teaching anti-ableist concepts and disability-related competencies by counselor educators. Finally, scales or measures to assess ableism, specifically in counselor education, could be created and validated.

Conclusion

These strategies do not aim to be an all-encompassing, definitive, or exhaustive checklist, as there are many ways to dismantle ableism. These strategies are a starting point, a reminder, a point of reflection, or an opportunity to affirm current strategies. Significantly, these strategies extend beyond counseling and are relevant across various educational and professional settings, from K–12 classrooms to higher education, social work, health care, and beyond. Wherever you land, we invite you to continue learning, growing, and committing to change with us. Alice Wong (2020) proclaimed, “There is so much that able-bodied people could learn from the wisdom that often comes with disability. However, space needs to be made. Hands need to reach out. People need to be lifted up” (p. 17). Together, we can extend our hands, challenge systemic barriers, and work to dismantle ableism in counseling settings and across all aspects of society.

Conflict of Interest and Funding Disclosure

The authors reported no conflict of interest or funding contributions for the development of this manuscript.

References

- Andrews, E. E., Forber-Pratt, A. J., Mona, L. R., Lund, E. M., Pilarski, C. R., & Balter, R. (2019). #SaytheWord: A disability culture commentary on the erasure of “disability.” *Rehabilitation Psychology, 64*(2), 111–118. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rep0000258>
- Atkins, K. M., Bell, T., Roy-White, T., & Page, M. (2023). Recognizing ableism and practicing disability humility: Conceptualizing disability across the lifespan. *Adultspan Journal, 22*(1). <https://doi.org/10.33470/2161-0029.1151>
- Ben-Moshe, L., & Magaña, S. (2014). An introduction to race, gender, and disability: Intersectionality, disability studies, and families of color. *Women, Gender, and Families of Color, 2*(2), 105–114. <https://doi.org/10.5406/womgenfamcol.2.2.0105>
- Berne, P., Morales, A. L., Langstaff, D., & Invalid, S. (2018). Ten principles of disability justice. *Women's Studies Quarterly, 46*(1–2), 227–230. <https://doi.org/10.1353/wsqr.2018.0003>
- Black, R. D., Weinberg, L. A., & Brodwin, M. G. (2015). Universal design for learning and instruction: Perspectives of students with disabilities in higher education. *Exceptionality Education International, 25*(2), 1–26.
- Bogart, K. R., & Dunn, D. S. (2019). Ableism special issue introduction. *Journal of Social Issues, 75*(3), 650–664. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12354>

- Bunbury, S. (2019). Unconscious bias and the medical model: How the social model may hold the key to transformative thinking about disability discrimination. *International Journal of Discrimination and the Law*, 19(1), 26–47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1358229118820742>
- Campbell, F. K. (2009). *Contours of ableism: The production of disability and abledness*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- CAST. (2018). Universal design for learning guidelines version 3.0. <http://udlguidelines.cast.org>
- Chapin, M., McCarthy, H., Shaw, L., Bradham-Cousar, M., Chapman, R., Nosek, M., Peterson, S., Yilmaz, Z., & Ysasi, N. (2018). *Disability-related counseling competencies*. American Rehabilitation Counseling Association. https://www.counseling.org/docs/default-source/competencies/arca-disability-related-counseling-competencies-v51519.pdf?sfvrsn=984f4bd0_1
- Charlton, J. I. (1998). *Nothing about us without us: Disability oppression and empowerment*. California University Press.
- Collins, P. H., & Bilge, S. (2020). *Intersectionality* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
- Cook, J. M., Deroche, M. D., & Ong, L. Z. (2024). A qualitative analysis of ableist microaggressions. *The Professional Counselor*, 14(1), 64–82. <https://doi.org/10.15241/jmc.14.1.64>
- Council for the Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs. (2023). 2024 CACREP standards. <https://www.cacrep.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/2024-Standards-Combined-Version-4.11.2024.pdf>
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167. <https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1052&context=uclf>
- Davis, L. J. (2011, September 25). Why is disability missing from the discourse on diversity? *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 25, 38–40. <https://www.chronicle.com/article/why-is-disability-missing-from-the-discourse-on-diversity>
- Deroche, M. D., Herlihy, B., & Lyons, M. L. (2020). Counselor trainee self-perceived disability competence: Implications for training. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, 59(3), 187–199. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ceas.12183>
- Dollarhide, C. T., Rogols, J. T., Garcia, G. L., Ismail, B. I., Langenfeld, M., Walker, T. L., Wolfe, T., George, K., McCord, L., & Aras, Y. (2020). Professional development in social justice: Analysis of American Counseling Association conference programming. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 98(1), 41–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12298>
- Dolmage, J. T. (2017). *Academic ableism: Disability and higher education*. University of Michigan Press. <https://doi.org/10.3998/mpub.9708722>
- Elflein, J. (2024, November 19). *Topic: Disability in the U.S. – Statistics & facts*. Statista. <https://www.statista.com/topics/4380/disability-in-the-us>
- Engel, G. L. (1977). The need for a new medical model: A challenge for biomedicine. *Science*, 196(4286), 129–136. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.847460>
- Erevelles, N., & Minear, A. (2010). Unspeakable offenses: Untangling race and disability in discourses of intersectionality. *Journal of Literary & Cultural Disability Studies*, 4(2), 127–145. <https://doi.org/10.3828/jlcls.2010.11>
- Feather, K. A., & Carlson, R. G. (2019). An initial investigation of individual instructors' self-perceived competence and incorporation of disability content into CACREP-accredited programs: Rethinking training in counselor education. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development*, 47(1), 19–36. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmcd.12118>
- Feldner, H. A., Evans, H. D., Chamblin, K., Ellis, L. M., Harniss, M. K., Lee, D., & Woiak, J. (2022). Infusing disability equity within rehabilitation education and practice: A qualitative study of lived experiences of ableism, allyship, and healthcare partnership. *Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences*, 3, 947592. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2022.9397845>
- Fornauf, B. S., & Erickson, J. D. (2020). Toward an inclusive pedagogy through universal design for learning in higher education: A review of the literature. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability*, 33(2), 183–199. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1273677.pdf>
- Frederick, A., & Shifrer, D. (2018). Race and disability: From analogy to intersectionality. *Sociology of Race and Ethnicity*, 5(2), 200–214. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332649218783480>
- Friedman, C. (2023). Explicit and implicit: Ableism of disability professionals. *Disability and Health Journal*, 16(4), 101482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2023.101482>

- Friedman, C., & Owen, A. L. (2017). Defining disability: Understandings of and attitudes towards ableism and disability. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 37(1).
- Garland-Thomson, R. (2005). Feminist disability studies. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 30(2), 1557–1587. <https://doi.org/10.1086/423352>
- Goodman, L. A., Liang, B., Helms, J. E., Latta, R. E., Sparks, E., & Weintraub, S. R. (2004). Training counseling psychologists as social justice agents: Feminist and multicultural principles in action. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 32(6), 793–836. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000004268802>
- Grzanka, P. R. (2020). From buzzword to critical psychology: An invitation to take intersectionality seriously. *Women & Therapy*, 43(3–4), 244–261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02703149.2020.1729473>
- Hennessey, M. L., & Koch, L. (2007). Universal design for instruction in rehabilitation counselor education. *Rehabilitation Research, Policy, and Education*, 21(3), 187–194. <https://doi.org/10.1891/088970107805059689>
- Herbert, J. T. (2000). Simulation as a learning method to facilitate disability awareness. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 23(1), 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105382590002300102>
- Hill, J. C., & Delgado, H. (2023). Incorporating disability knowledge and content into the counselor education curriculum. *ACES Teaching Practice Briefs*, 21(2), 18–31. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388758290_Incorporating_Disability_Knowledge_and_Content_into_the_Counselor_Education_Curriculum
- Leonardi, M., Bickenbach, J., Ustun, T. B., Kostanjsek, N., & Chatterji, S. (2006). The definition of disability: What is in a name? *The Lancet*, 368(9543), 1219–1221. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(06\)69498-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69498-1)
- Lewis, T. A. (2022, January 1). Working definition of ableism—January 2022 update. <https://www.talilalewis.com/blog/working-definition-of-ableism-january-2022-update>
- Lewis, T. A., & Brown, L. X. Z. (2018). Accountable reporting on disability, race & police violence: A community response to the “Ruderman Foundation paper on the media coverage of use of force and disability.” <https://www.talilalewis.com/blog/archives/06-2018>
- Lund, E. M. (2022). Valuing the insider-professional perspective of disability: A call for rehabilitation psychologists to support disabled psychologists and trainees across the profession. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 67(4), 582–586. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rep0000452>
- Mingus, M. (2010, February 12). Changing the framework: Disability justice. *Leaving Evidence*. <https://leavingevidence.wordpress.com/2011/02/12/changing-the-framework-disability-justice>
- Moradi, B., & Grzanka, P. R. (2017). Using intersectionality responsibly: Toward critical epistemology, structural analysis, and social justice activism. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 64(5), 500–513. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000203>
- Mosher, D. K., Hook, J. N., Captari, L. E., Davis, D. E., DeBlaere, C., & Owen, J. (2017). Cultural humility: A therapeutic framework for engaging diverse clients. *Practice Innovations*, 2(4), 221–233. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pri0000055>
- Mueller, C. O., Forber-Pratt, A. J., & Sriken, J. (2019). Disability: Missing from the conversation of violence. *Journal of Social Issues*, 75(3), 707–725. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12339>
- Öksüz, E., & Brubaker, M. D. (2020). Deconstructing disability training in counseling: A critical examination and call to the profession. *Journal of Counselor Leadership and Advocacy*, 7(2), 163–175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2326716X.2020.1820407>
- Olkin, R. (2002). Could you hold the door for me? Including disability in diversity. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 8(2), 130–137. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1099-9809.8.2.130>
- Oswald, G. R., Adams, R. D. N., & Hiles, J. A. (2018). Universal design for learning in rehabilitation education: Meeting the needs for equal access to electronic course resources and online learning. *Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Counseling*, 49(1), 19–22.
- Perrin, P. B. (2019). Diversity and social justice in disability: The heart and soul of rehabilitation psychology. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 64(2), 105–110. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rep0000278>
- Pierce, K. L. (2024). Bridging the gap between intentions and impact: Understanding disability culture to support disability justice. *The Professional Counselor*, 13(4), 486–495. <https://doi.org/10.15241/klp.13.4.486>
- Rivas, M. (2020). Disability in counselor education: Perspectives from the United States. *International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling*, 42, 366–381. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10447-020-09404-y>

- Shakespeare, T. (2006). The social model of disability. In L. J. Davis (Ed.), *The Disability Studies Reader* (2nd ed.; pp. 197–204). Routledge. https://disabilitystudies.nl/sites/default/files/beeld/onderwijs/lennard_davis_the_disability_studies_reader_secbookzz-org_0.pdf
- Shakespeare, T., & Kleins, I. (2013). Educating health professionals about disability: A review of interventions. *Health and Social Care Education*, 2(2), 20–37. <https://doi.org/10.11120/hsce.2013.00026>
- Shapiro, J. P. (1994). *No pity: People with disabilities forging a new civil rights movement*. Three Rivers Press.
- Sharif, A., McCall, A. L., & Bolante, K. R. (2022). Should I say “disabled people” or “people with disabilities”? Language preferences of disabled people between identity- and person-first language. *ASSETS '22: Proceedings of the 24th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3517428.3544813>
- Shin, R. Q., Welch, J. C., Kaya, A. E., Yeung, J. G., Obana, C., Sharma, R., Vernay, C. N., & Yee, S. (2017). The intersectionality framework and identity intersections in the *Journal of Counseling Psychology* and *The Counseling Psychologist*: A content analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 64(5), 458–474. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000204>
- Slesaransky-Poe, G., & García, A. M. (2014). The social construction of difference. In D. Lawrence-Brown, M. Shapon-Shevin, & N. Erevelles (Eds.), *Condition critical: Key principles for equitable and inclusive education* (pp. 66–85). Teachers College, New York.
- Smart, J. (2015). *Disability, society, and the individual* (3rd ed.). ProEd, Inc.
- Smith, W. T., Roth, J. J., Okoro, O., Kimberlin, C., & Odedina, F. T. (2011). Disability in cultural competency pharmacy education. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 75(2), 26. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe75226>
- Taboas, A., Doepke, K., & Zimmerman, C. (2023). Preferences for identity-first versus person-first language in a US sample of autism stakeholders. *Autism*, 27(2), 565–570. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13623613221130845>
- Strike, D. L., Skovholt, T. M., & Hummel, T. J. (2004). Mental health professionals’ disability competence: Measuring self-awareness, perceived knowledge, and perceived skills. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 49(4), 321–327. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0090-5550.49.4.321>
- Wolbring, G., & Nasir, L. (2024). Intersectionality of disabled people through a disability studies, ability-based studies, and intersectional pedagogy lens: A survey and a scoping review. *Societies*, 14(9), 176. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc14090176>
- Wong, A. (Ed.). (2020). *Disability visibility: First-person stories from the twenty-first century*. Vintage.